Committee: Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Date: 4th July 2012

Agenda item: 8

Wards: All

Subject: Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel

Work Programme 2012/13

Lead officer: Stella Akintan Scrutiny Officer

Lead member: Cllr Suzanne Evans, Chair of Healthier Communities Overview and

Scrutiny Panel

Forward Plan reference number: n/a

Contact officer: Stella Akintan: stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

That Members of the Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel

- i) Consider their work programme for the 2012/13 municipal year, and agree issues and items for inclusion:
- ii) Consider the methods by which the Panel would like to scrutinise the issues/items agreed;
- iii) Identify a Member to lead for performance monitoring on behalf of the Panel;
- iv) Identify a Member to lead for budget scrutiny on behalf of the Panel;
- v) Consider whether they wish to make visits to local sites;
- vi) Agree on an issue for scrutiny by a task group and appoint members to the Task Group. The Task Group will subsequently meet to scope the review and draft the terms of reference that will be reported back to the next Panel meeting for approval;
- vii) Identify one issue for in-depth agenda item;
- viii) Consider the appointment of co-opted members for the 2012/13 municipal year, to sit on the Panel and/or on the Task Group; and
- ix) Inform the Scrutiny Officer of their views on their training and support needs.

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 The purpose of this report is to support and advise Members to determine their work programme for the 2012/13 municipal year.
- 1.2 This report sets out the following information to assist Members in this process:
 - a) The principles of effective scrutiny and the criteria against which work programme items should be considered;
 - b) The roles and responsibilities of the Overview and Scrutiny Panel;

- c) The findings of the consultation programme undertaken with Members, Senior management, voluntary and community sector organisations, partner organisations and Merton residents;
- d) A summary of discussion by councillors and co-opted members at a topic selection workshop held on 29th May 2012; and
- e) Support available to the Overview and Scrutiny Panel to determine, develop and deliver its 2012/13 work programme.

2. Determining the Overview and Scrutiny Panel Annual Work Programme for 2012/13

- 2.1 Members are required to determine their work programme for the 2012/13 municipal year to give focus and structure to scrutiny activity to ensure that it effectively and efficiently supports and challenges the decision-making processes of the Council, and partner organisations, for the benefit of the people of Merton.
- 2.2 The Overview and Scrutiny Panels have specific roles relating to budget and business plan scrutiny and to performance monitoring that should automatically be built into their work programmes. Members are recommended to appoint a Performance Monitoring Lead Member and a Business Plan/Budget Scrutiny Lead Member on behalf of the Panel.
- 2.3 Overview and Scrutiny Panels may choose to scrutinise a range of issues through a combination of pre-decision scrutiny items, policy development, performance monitoring, information updates and follow up to previous scrutiny work. Any call-in work will be programmed into the provisional call-in dates identified in the corporate calendar as required.
- 2.4 The Overview and Scrutiny Panel has six scheduled meetings over the course of 2012/13, including the scheduled budget meeting (representing a maximum of 18 hours of scrutiny per year assuming 3 hours per meeting). Members will therefore need to be selective in their choice of items for the Panel's work programme.

Principles guiding the development of the scrutiny work programme

- 2.5 The following key principles of effective scrutiny should be considered when the Panel determines its work programme:
 - Be selective There is a need to prioritise so that high priority issues are scrutinised given the limited number of scheduled meetings and time available.
 Members should consider what can realistically and properly be reviewed at each meeting, taking into account the time needed to scrutinise each item and what the session is intended to achieve.
 - Add value with scrutiny Items should have the potential to 'add value' to the work of the Authority and its partners. If it is not clear what the intended outcomes or impact of a review will be then Members should consider if there are issues of a higher priority that could be scrutinised instead.

- Be ambitious Panels should not shy away from carrying out scrutiny of issues that are of local concern, whether or not they are the primary responsibility of the council. The Local Government Act 2000 gave local authorities the power to do anything to promote economic, social and environmental well being of local communities. Subsequent Acts have conferred specific powers to scrutinise health services, crime and disorder issues and to hold partner organisations to account.
- Be flexible Members are reminded that there needs to be a degree of flexibility in their work programme to respond to unforeseen issues/items for consideration/comment during the year and accommodate any developmental or additional work that falls within the remit of this Panel/Commission. For example Members may wish to questions officers regarding the declining performance of a service or may choose to respond to a Councillor Call for Action request.
- Think about the timing Members should ensure that the scrutiny activity is timely and that, where appropriate, their findings and recommendations inform wider corporate developments or policy development cycles at a time when they can have most impact. Members should seek to avoid duplication of work carried out elsewhere.

Models for carrying out scrutiny work

2.6 There are a number of means by which the Overview and Scrutiny Panel can deliver its work programme. Members should consider which of the following options is most appropriate to undertake each of the items they have selected for inclusion in the work programme:

Item on a scheduled meeting agenda/ hold an extra meeting of the Panel	 Panel can agree to add an item to the agenda for a meeting and call Cabinet Members/ Officers/Partners to the meeting to respond to questioning on the matter
	 A variation of this model could be a single meeting to scrutinise an issue that, although important, do not merit setting up a 'task-and-finish' group.
Task Group	A small group of Members meet outside of the scheduled meetings to gather information on the subject area, visit other local authorities/sites, speak to service users, expert witnesses and/or Officers/Partners. The Task Group can then report back to the wider Panel with their findings to endorse the submission of their recommendations to Cabinet/Council
	 This is the method usually used to carry out policy reviews
Panel asks for a report then takes a view on action	■ The Panel may need more information before taking a view on whether to carry out a full review so asks for a report – either from the service department or from the Scrutiny Team – to give them more details.
Meeting with service officer/partners	A Member (or small group of Members) has a meeting with service officers/Partners to discuss concerns or raise queries.
	If the Member is not satisfied with the outcome or believes that the Panel needs to have a more in- depth review of the matter s/he takes it back to the Panel for discussion
Individual Members doing	A member with a specific concern carries out some research to gain more information on the matter and

some initial research	then brings his/her findings to the attention of the
	panel if s/he still has concerns.

2.7 Note that, in order to keep agendas to a manageable size, and to focus on items to which the Panel can make a direct contribution, the Panel may choose to take some "information only" items outside of Panel meetings, for example by email.

Support available for scrutiny activity

- 2.8 The Overview and Scrutiny function has dedicated scrutiny support from the Scrutiny Team to:
 - Work with the Chair and Vice-Chair of each Panel to manage the work programme and coordinate the agenda, including advising officers and partner organisations on information required and guidance for witnesses submitting evidence to a scrutiny review;
 - Provide support for scrutiny members through briefing papers, background material, training and development seminars, etc;
 - Facilitate and manage the work of the task and finish groups, including research, arranging site visits, inviting and briefing witnesses and drafting review reports on behalf on the Chair; and
 - Promote the scrutiny function across the organisation and externally.
- 2.9 The Overview and Scrutiny Panel will need to assess how they can best utilise the available support from the Scrutiny Team to deliver their work programme for 2012/13.
- 2.10 The Panel is also invited to comment upon any briefing, training and support that is needed to enable Members to undertake their work programme. Members may also wish to undertake visits to local services in order to familiarise themselves with these. Such visits should be made with the knowledge of the Chair and will be organised by the Scrutiny Team.
- 2.11 The Scrutiny Team will take the Overview and Scrutiny Panel's views on board in developing the support that is provided.

3. Selecting items for the Scrutiny Work Programme

3.1 Each Overview and Scrutiny Panel sets its own agenda within the scope of its terms of reference, with the Overview and Scrutiny Commission taking a coordinating role to ensure that any gaps or overlap in the scrutiny work programme are dealt with in a joined-up way.

The Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel has the following remit: -

- Formal health scrutiny, including discharging the Council's responsibilities in respect of the Health and Social Care Act 2001
- Health, including promoting good health and healthy lifestyles, mental health and reducing health inequalities
- Community care (adult social care and older people's social care)
- Active aging
- Access to care and health services

- 3.1 The Scrutiny Team has undertaken a campaign to gather suggestions for issues to scrutinise either as Panel agenda items or task group reviews. Suggestions have been received from members of the public, councillors and partner organisations including the police, NHS Sutton and Merton and Merton Voluntary Service Council. Other issues of public concern have been identified through the Annual Residents Survey. The Scrutiny Team has consulted departmental management teams in order to identify forthcoming issues on which the panel could contribute to the policymaking process.
- 3.2 A description of all the suggestions received is set out in Appendix 2.
- The councillors who attended a "topic selection" workshop on 29th May 2012 discussed these suggestions.
- The suggestions were prioritised at the workshop using the criteria listed in Appendix 3. In particular, participants sought to identify issues that related to the Council's strategic priorities or where there was underperformance; issues of public interest or concern and issues where scrutiny could make a difference.
- A note of the workshop discussion relating to the remit of this Panel is set out in Appendix 4.
- 3.6 Appendix 1 contains a draft work programme that has been drawn up, taking the workshop discussion into account, for the consideration of the Panel. The Panel is requested to discuss this draft and agree any changes that it wishes to make.
- 3.7 The Panel may also wish to select items for scrutiny from the presentations made by Directors and Cabinet Members (at the Panel's meeting on 5 July 2012) or based on other public priorities of which Members are aware through their ward work.
- 3.8 Items on the Cabinet's forward plan that relate to the remit of this Panel are listed in Appendix 5. The Panel may wish to include one or more of these issues in its work programme.

4. Task group reviews

- 4.1 The Panel is invited to select an issue for in-depth scrutiny and establish a task group in order to carry out the review.
- 4.2 One potential area for in-depth scrutiny was identified at the workshop:

The continence Service

5. Co-option to the Panel membership

5.1 Scrutiny Panels can consider whether to appoint non-statutory (non-voting) co-optees to the membership, in order to add to the specific knowledge, expertise and understanding of key issues to aid the scrutiny function. Panels may also wish to consider whether it may be helpful to co-opt people from "seldom heard" groups.

6. Public involvement

6.1 Scrutiny provides extensive opportunities for community involvement and democratic accountability. Engagement with service users and with the general public can help to improve the quality, legitimacy and long-term viability of recommendations made by the Panel.

- 6.2 Service users and the public bring different perspectives, experiences and solutions to scrutiny, particularly if "seldom heard" groups such as young people, disabled people, people from black and minority ethnic communities and people from lesbian gay bisexual and transgender communities are included.
- This engagement will help the Panel to understand the service user's perspective on individual services and on co-ordination between services. Views can be heard directly through written or oral evidence or heard indirectly through making use of existing sources of information, for example from surveys. From time to time the Panel/Task Group may wish to carry out engagement activities of its own, by holding discussion groups or sending questionnaires on particular issues of interest.
- Much can be learnt from best practice already developed in Merton and elsewhere.

 The Scrutiny Team will be able to help the Panel to identify the range of stakeholders from which it may wish to seek views and the best way to engage with particular groups within the community.

7. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 7.1 A number of issues highlighted in this report recommend that Panel members take into account certain considerations when setting their work programme for 2012/13. Overview and Scrutiny Panels are free to determine their work programme as they see fit. Members may therefore choose to identify a work programme that does not take into account these considerations. This is not advised as ignoring the issues raised would either conflict with good practice and/or principles endorsed in the Review of Scrutiny, or could mean that adequate support would not be available to carry out the work identified for the work programme.
- A range of suggestions from the public, partner organisations, officers and Members for inclusion in the scrutiny work programme are set out in the appendices, together with a suggested approach to determining which to include in the work programme. Members may choose to respond differently. However, in doing so, Members should be clear about expected outcomes, how realistic expectations are and the impact of their decision on their wider work programme and support time. Members are also free to incorporate into their work programme any other issues they think should be subject to scrutiny over the course of the year, with the same considerations in mind.

8. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

- 8.1 To assist Members to identify priorities for inclusion in the Panel's scrutiny work programme, the Scrutiny Team has undertaken a campaign to gather suggestions for possible scrutiny reviews from a number of sources:
 - a. Members of the public have been approached using the following tools: articles in the local press, My Merton and Merton Together, request for suggestions from all councillors and co-opted members, letter to partner organisations and to range of local voluntary and community organisations, including those involved in the Inter-Faith Forum and members of the Lesbian Gay and Transgender Forum;
 - Councillors have put forward suggestions by raising issues in scrutiny meetings, via the Overview and Scrutiny Member Survey 2012, and by contacting the Scrutiny Team direct; and
 - c. Officers have been consulted via discussion at departmental management team meetings.

9. FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are none specific to this report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the financial, resource and property issues relating to the topic being scrutinised. Furthermore, scrutiny work will also need to assess the implications of any recommendations made to Cabinet, including specific financial, resource and property implications.

10. LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- Overview and scrutiny bodies operate within the provisions set out in the Local Government Act 2000, the Health and Social Care Act 2001 and the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.
- 10.2 Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory issues relating to the topic being scrutinised. Furthermore, scrutiny work will also need to assess the implications of any recommendations made to Cabinet, including specific legal and statutory implications.

11. HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engagement. The reviews will involve work to consult local residents, community and voluntary sector groups, businesses, hard to reach groups, partner organisations etc and the views gathered will be fed into the review.
- 11.2 Scrutiny work involves consideration of the human rights, equalities and community cohesion issues relating to the topic being scrutinised. Furthermore, scrutiny work will also need to assess the implications of any recommendations made to Cabinet, including specific human rights, equalities and community cohesion implications.

12. CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

12.1 In line with the requirements of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and the Police and Justice Act 2006, all Council departments must have regard to the impact of services on crime, including anti-social behaviour and drugs. Scrutiny review reports will therefore highlight any implications arising from the reviews relating to crime and disorder as necessary.

13. RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

There are none specific to this report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the risk management and health and safety issues relating to the topic being scrutinised. Furthermore, scrutiny work will also need to assess the implications of any recommendations made to Cabinet, including specific risk management and health and safety implications.

14. APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

- 14.1 Appendix I Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel draft work programme 2012/13
- 14.2 Appendix 2 Summary of topics relating to this Overview & Scrutiny Panel's remit suggested for inclusion in the scrutiny work programme
- 14.3 Appendix 3 Selecting a Scrutiny Topic criteria used at the workshop on 29th May 2012

- 14.4 Appendix 4 Notes from discussion of topics relating to the remit of the Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel, Scrutiny Topic Selection Workshop 29th May 2012
- 14.5 Appendix 5 Extract from Forward Plan

15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

15.1 None

One item may be selected for a full task group review. The topic (suggested at the topic selection evening on 29th May) was the incontinence service. The task group will xxx

Please note the last page provides information on items on the council's forward plan that relate to the portfolio of this Scrutiny Panel.

Meeting date – 5th September 2012

Item/Issue	Format
Safeguarding older people task group - Department action plan to implement recommendations	
St Georges NHS Trust 10 year Corporate Strategy	
Merton Care Quality Commission Contact invited to discuss local issues	
Better Services Better Value Review update	

Extra meeting in the Autumn 2012

Item/Issue	Format
Health and Wellbeing Strategy for Merton	

Meeting date – 15th November 2012

Item/Issue	Format
Community and housing department – levels of debt	
Impact of cut in domiciliary care fees in 2011 budget	
Impact of transport review in 2011 budget	
Adult Social Care local account – (adult social care self assessment document)	

Meeting date 16th January 2012 – scrutiny of the budget

Meeting date 12th February 2012

Item/Issue	Format
Update on local action to tackle risky drinking behaviour	

Meeting date 17th April 2012

Item/Issue	Format
Task Group Report	

Meeting date 17th April 2012

Item/Issue	Format

Informatives:

Members of the panel will be provided with appropriate informatives by email from time to time, and may request that such an informative is placed on a Panel Agenda for scrutiny if they so wish. Areas already identified for this purpose are:

Appendix 2

Description of topic suggestions received in relation to the remit of the Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel

None for this panel

Appendix 3

Selecting a Scrutiny Topic – criteria used at the workshop on 29th May 2012

The purpose of the workshop is to identify priority issues for consideration as agenda items or in-depth reviews by the Scrutiny Panels and the Commission. The final decision on this will then be made by the Panels/Commission at their first meetings.

All the issues that have been suggested to date by councillors, officers, partner organisations and residents are outlined in the supporting papers.

Further suggestions may emerge from discussion at the workshop.

Points to consider when selecting a topic:

o Is the issue strategic, significant and specific?

- o Is it an area of underperformance?
- Will the scrutiny activity add value to the Council's and/or its partners' overall performance?
- o Is it likely to lead to effective, tangible outcomes?
- o Is it an issue of community concern and will it engage the public?
- Does this issue have a potential impact for one or more section(s) of the population?
- Will this work duplicate other work already underway, planned or done recently?
- o Is it an issue of concern to partners and stakeholders?
- o Are there adequate resources available to do the activity well?

Appendix 4

Notes from discussion of topics relating to the remit of the Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel, Scrutiny Topic Selection Workshop 29th May 2012

Present: Councillors: Suzanne Evans, Peter McCabe, Margaret Brierly, Logie Lohendran, Brenda Fraser.

Co-opted Members: Sheila Knight, Barbara Price, Saleem Sheikh, Laura Johnson, Myrtle Agutter.

Officers: Dr Val Day Interim Director for Public Health, Rahat Ahmed-Man Head of Commissioning, Stella Akintan Scrutiny Officer.

Apologies: Councillor Dennis Pearce

The Panel went through each suggested topic to decide whether to include it in the work programme

Topic	Panel Decision
Health and Wellbeing Strategy	This is important and will be considered by the Panel, possibly as a extra meeting if it cannot be included within the Panels' scheduled meetings
Government plans for the NHS – to consider and alert the public of Merton to how it will make the NHS Discriminatory to poorer people and	The Panel agreed to include St Georges NHS Trust 10 year Corporate Strategy in the work programme for scrutiny.

provide less good care	
Lower take up of services by older people because of costs and impact that this has on health	This issue will be included in the work programme
The effect of changes at the Mental Health Trust	These issues will be considered as part of the discussion on the Beacon Report on inpatient psychiatry beds across South West London
Would like to see more intensive monitoring of mental health services in Merton.	Merton Link has recently commissioned a piece of work on mental health service user groups in Merton and will report back to the Panel with the findings.
Transaction – de-merger of Epsom and St Helier and re-merging them with new partners	Trust Directors will be invited to the Panel as the project progresses and there is new information to report.
Continence service	The Panel agreed to conduct an indepth task group on this issue
Beacon Report	The Panel agreed to consider this report at a meeting
Public Health Transition	The Panel agreed to make a final decision on the next steps after the special meeting on this issue on the 31 st May
High levels of risky drinking behaviour	The Panel would like further information about what the NHS, Safer Neighbourhoods are doing to tackle this issue.
Low levels of physical activity in adults	Not to be taken forward
Health inequalities between the East and West of the borough	Not at this time
Developing the relationship between the Care Quality Commission and this Panel	CQC contact to be invited to the Panel.

Appendix 5

Forward Plan items relating to the remit of the Healthier Communities Overview and Scrutiny Panel:

None at this time